Data Mining Human Reasoning: Vaccine Hesitancy in the USA

**Taha A. Kashaf**

**ID: 180020889**

**AC40001 Honours Project**

**BSc (Hons) Computing Science**

**University of Dundee, 2022**

**Supervisor: Prof. J. Lawrence**

*The purpose of this project was to use Machine-Learning powered Sentiment Analysis and Natural Language Processing techniques to classify sentiment about vaccination from textual data in the form of tweets.*

*The project endeavoured to present the results of this analysis in a clear, easy-to-approach way. Allowing users/readers to be able to view the results alongside other statistical data and see correlations and comparisons for themselves.*

*The goal being to facilitate an understanding of potential underlying reasons for vaccine hesitancy, to be able to better address it in the future.*

*While many parts of the project were successful – data collections, formatting, cleaning, pre-processing and displaying. Ultimately, the Machine-Learning powered Sentiment-Analysis model wasn’t accurate enough to draw useful conclusions from – however, this was primarily due to the lack of training data, and the model can be improved with simply more training.*

# Introduction

Vaccinations and vaccines have become a controversial talking point in this day and age. In the midst of the worlds best-documented pandemic: COVID-19. Many of us saw the development of COVID-19 vaccines as a fantastic feat of collaboration between the global medical and scientific communities. We believed that with the help of the vaccines and large-scale inoculation, multiple years of lockdowns, restrictions, and sacrifices would come to an end.

However, while that may be the predominant opinion, many people also look at vaccinations and vaccines as a bad thing. Hence the talking point of vaccines being one that brings controversy to the table.

Anti-vaccination rhetoric has been around since long before COVID-19 [Durbach, N. They might as well brand us: Working class resistance to compulsory vaccination in Victorian England. The Society for the Social History of Medicine. 2000;13:45-62.]. However, in recent years, and especially with the advent of social media, the visibility of the movement has grown dramatically.

In pre-COVID times, anti-vaccination rhetoric was primarily something we’d hear about and shake our heads at. For most, it did not have any tangible real life effect, it wasn’t likely to cause any changes to our day-to-day lives.

However, currently, in the midst of a pandemic. With reports of hospital urgent care wards being filled with primarily unvaccinated covid patients [Article on Unvaccinated Patients: https://northeastlondonccg.nhs.uk/news/almost-90-of-patients-admitted-to-intensive-care-units-innorth-east-london-are-not-fully-vaccinated/] the effects of the anti-vax movement are bigger and closer than ever. Where even a vaccinated individual may be unable to receive care due to hospital beds being occupied by those who choose not to take vaccines.

The problem is an obvious one: large groups of people carry a negative sentiment towards vaccinations. The goal of this project was simple: To use Machine-Learning powered Sentiment Analysis, in combination with Natural Language Processing techniques to aid in producing a data-driven solution that would help us understand *why* people have the opinions they do regarding vaccines.

This is obviously not a solution to vaccine hesitancy in itself. With a topic as complex as human reasoning, and why people choose the things they do. First, you need a comprehensive understanding of *why*. Only then, once that understanding has been built, can you begin to tackle the problem itself. This project is an attempt at understanding the reasoning behind the problem.

# Background

In this section, you should explore and expand the background to the problem. For example, have others already done work in this area? What did they find? How could that help you in your project, or not? This section would usually include a review of relevant literature and any similar products, and to allow the project to be placed within its wider context, whether that be the scientific, technical, commercial, social, or ethical context. You can also consider whether you need to / want to engage with end users, to understand more about the / their problem too. Ultimately, you should be seeking to demonstrate an excellent working knowledge of the problem domain and to synthesize the outcomes of what you have found so that you can align those with aims, objectives, and/or requirements of your project.

An image which is copied from the web, show reference.

# Specification

You might choose to devote Section 3 to a specification of the problem (such as requirements, user stories), and an explanation of how you arrived at this specification. You can describe an initial work schedule, including an overall project plan with time scales and deliverables. You can summarise your development process and methodology, with a justification of the methodology selected.

# Design

You should include descriptions of the main design choices you made at the outset of the project, e.g., in terms of the tools that you adopted, the frameworks, the environments, the programming languages, usability constraints or standards, and so on Did algorithms or data structures have to be selected? Did you have to produce any initial software designs, e.g., e.g., data models, E-R diagrams, UML designs. Design decisions and trade-offs should be described, including consideration of alternatives and a justification for the choices made. Sometimes, the justification may be because of constraints on the project, e.g., the learning curve required for certain technologies and the feasibility within the project timescale. In other cases, there may have been a range of equally comparable tools or technologies that you could have selected from. In which case, why did you choose one over the others?

It is recognised that some design choices may be more, or less applicable to some projects than others. For example, a project which is developing and benchmarking a new image processing algorithm may have less concerns about user interface design, but the latter could be important to other projects. Therefore, please present information which is pertinent to the needs and expectations of your own project.

It is also recognised that design choices may evolve or change during the project, as you discover more during the implementation stages. Therefore, you may need to approach the Design section in a couple of ways. You could present it as a record of what was relevant at the outset, prior to implementation. You can then discuss any changes that occurred during the implementation section later. Alternatively, you can present information about the design choices that you made at the outset, and the subsequent changes to these during the later stages of the project together, at the same time, in the Design section. The choice of which approach to adopt may be down to your own personal preference and/or based on the advice of your project advisor too.

It is also recognised that some projects may have their technology choices pre-ordained from the outset. I.e., there is a specific platform or framework which has been stipulated by the project advisor at the outset and/or which is just the single, natural choice for projects in that area. In such cases, it is still important to outline what these constraints or choices are. It such cases, it may still be feasible for you to explore or consider alternatives.

Finally, it is also recognised that some design choices may subsequently depend on others. For example, if you had decided that C# or ASP.NET was going to be the most appropriate language or environment for you to use, it could be natural for you to conclude that Visual Studio would be the relevant development environment to use too. However, there could still have been other options to consider in these circumstances. Ultimately, you are just looking to provide a robust and comprehensive discussion of your choices, rationale, and justification.

There are occasions where it might be helpful to refer to an equation, such as Equation (1).

(1) *E = mc2*

More generally, you will almost certainly want to use some figures or tables throughout your document, such as Figure 1 and Table 1 below. These should include captions and cross-references within your text where you wish to reference to them. In addition, when you include a figure, screenshot, graph, diagram, table, or similar item into your report, it is important that you explain to the reader what the figure shows and/or what you are attempting to draw their attention to or emphasize. For example, see Figure 1 below which shows a diagram of an extremely important pattern.




Figure 1. A diagram of an extremely important pattern.

At this point in your report. If you didn’t say any more about Figure 1 above, the reader would have no idea why the pattern it contains is important or not. Please say a little more about what you need the reader to know, or what you wish to emphasize, highlight, or draw their attention to. Please see the example below in relation to a table.

Please see Table 1 below which presents the initial results of performance testing using method A. For each data set, it shows the error rate (%) and time (seconds).

Table 1. Performance of Method A: Initial Results.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Test data** | **Error rate (%)** | **Time (sec.)** |
| *Set 1* | 70 | 3.1 |
| *Set 2* | 74 | 8.0 |

As Table 1 shows, whilst Set 1 and Set 2 have comparable error rates, the performance, in seconds, of Set 2 is much slower and this was deemed to be impactful to the requirements of the machine learning algorithms to be employed.

NOTE: please remember to include Alt Text for any images and Tables that you use in your report.

# Implementation and Testing

You should describe the important aspects of implementation, testing, and debugging that you went through to produce your system. You can structure this in different ways, depending on the development methodology adopted and the needs of your project. You may wish to start with a review and overview of the main features to be implemented and a general, architectural overview of the system. You may then wish to walk through the major features, components, or sub-systems that were created, one after another. These could be sub-sections in your report, e.g., Feature X, Feature Y, etc. Or you may wish to present a time-based review of the implementation process, according to the stages you went through in your project plan. Indeed, if you have adopted an Agile approach, you may wish to structure your discussion around the various Sprints that were undertaken. In your discussion, highlight any important features that were implemented, any major problems that were encountered, and the workarounds that you produced. Your aim is to convince the reader that you are technically competent and that you are capable of problem solving and adapting to needs of the project. The amount / extent of technical contribution is also being assessed and the extent to which you have been able offer original ideas of your own. Regarding the amount of technical contribution. For example, a basic website, with a few, static pages is likely to be rated somewhat poorly. Instead, one would expect dynamic content, a database, more complex code and problems being solved, additional considerations for accessibility, usability, security, etc.

Regarding the implementation section. You may wish to illustrate your discussion with diagrams, or code snippets, that offer additional insights into your work or achievements. You may wish to emphasize user-centred processes, where applicable, and how the system evolved during implementation. For technically oriented projects, it is understood that you may wish to focus more on the performance, accuracy, reliability, or precision in your outcomes, including benchmarking against the work of others. For an additional layer of sophistication, any project can consider additional non-functional aspects of the system which are applicable, e.g., security, scalability, performance, usability, accessibility.

Later in your report, there is a related section: Description of Final Product. This later section is focused around providing a summary overview of your finished product. In contrast, the implementation section focuses on the stages that you went through to achieve and deliver it. There may be some areas of overlap, e.g., when you discuss the implementation of a particular user interface component, and you wish to use a screenshot to highlight the implementation choices made. Meanwhile, it turns out that a similar screenshot is necessary later in the Description of Final Product section, where you are simply presenting what the key aspect of the interface looks like. That is OK. There is just a difference of emphasis here.

For additional sophistication in your implementation, you should consider the use of software testing techniques, e.g., unit testing or similar. If so, the markers would need to see evidence of their use, e.g., in your source code or similar. In addition, you could consider traceability back to your original requirements, and verification or validation that they have been achieved.

As noted above, you may wish to include snippets of code in your report, to accompany your discussion of the implementation. Commonly, these may be included as screenshots of the relevant portions of code. It is best to keep these focused on specific areas of the code, e.g., it may be a specific method or a section of a method. For example, we are developing a web-based system which has a sequence of code for iterating through groups of product items. There is perhaps some reason why this code is noteworthy, e.g., it illustrates a novel approach or solves a tricky problem or is just something you are pleased with. Having discussed the feature, we wish to show a code snippet too. An example of this is below, e.g., please see Figure 2. Code Snippet. Iterating product options. below which illustrates the routine that was implemented to address this challenge. In the code snippet, you will see how the product items are iterated to complete the relevant basket page for the user.




Figure 2. Code Snippet. Iterating product options.

Sometimes, you find that you need to include a larger image in your report that doesn’t fit easily into the two-column layout. In that case, you may be able to use Section Breaks in the document, to temporarily switch to a single-column layout and back again. For example, at this point in the report I have inserted a Continuous Section break immediately below this paragraph. Inside the new section, I have modified the layout to be single-column instead of double-column. I have included an example of a figure that spans the entire page. In this case, the figure is shown on the next page, because it doesn’t fit here. This has left a little but of a gap here on this page. This can be a common problem. Sometimes you can position things in such a way that the gaps are minimised. It is also worth noting that you don’t always need to place a figure immediately beside the text that it refers to. You could place a figure on the next page instead for example, whilst you continue to refer to it here, and afterwards. That way, you can fill up as much of the text here as you can too, without the need to have the figure placed in between. It is also possible to have several figures placed together on one page, and these can be referred to from relevant locations in your text. If you have large images that are impossible to fit into the report without being legible, you can include images in your appendices too.




Figure 3. Random Image. In this case, a screenshot of the UoD website.

Now that I have finished what I want to do in this section, I have placed another continuous section break immediately after this sentence, which ends this single-column section and takes me back to the double-column layout afterwards.

# Evaluation / Testing

You must evaluate your system. This will be done in different ways depending on the project. For example, if you are developing a web application or app, it is common to do user testing and you may wish to seek feedback and comments from end users through interviews or questionnaires. If your project has a technical, non-user-based focus, your testing may focus more on benchmarking, comparing different algorithms or parameters, measuring performance or precision, etc. For any type of project, you can consider additional criteria where applicable, e.g., security, performance, accessibility, and computational efficiency. In the case of Cloud-based applications or services, one could also consider the cost implications (e.g., 'x' pence per query) and whether this has influenced the design and testing of the application.

Regardless of the project, you must describe the evaluation or testing of your system in your report, and this must include the following: a presentation of any relevant data; a discussion and analysis of the data; a discussion of the significant results and outcomes you have found. Ideally, you should consider any limitations in your evaluation and the extent to which your outcomes can be generalized to a wider ‘population’, or not.

Consider what you want to evaluate or test, and how you will achieve it. Develop the necessary evaluation plans / materials / methods, and make sure these are described in your report. Be mindful of ETHICS where required and make sure that the relevant Ethics documents are utilised, and it is clear where and how ethics has been adopted in your evaluation. Describe how your tests or evaluations were conducted. You can include the materials you have used in your appendices, e.g., test plans, evaluation checklists or tasks, copies of questionnaires used. Present and discuss the data in your report. You can include copies of the data in the Appendices too. Discuss the main outcome or findings from your evaluation / testing.

## Using subsections in your report

Remember, you can use subsections throughout your report to structure the content. This is often desirable to break up large expanses of text and to aid the reader too. Examples of subsections here, in the Evaluation section could be general such as Methodology or Results, and/or specific such as Usability, Performance, etc. Please remember to use the built-in styles for this that Word provides (Heading 1, Heading 2, etc.). This is necessary to ensure that your document is accessible.

### Here is a sub-subsection

You can use additional layers of hierarchy to progressively structure the content. In this case, if there was a subsection named Methodology, perhaps it could contain sub-subsections such as Participants, Tasks, Ethics, etc.

#### Be mindful of taking the structure too far

Whilst you can use as many hierarchies as you wish in structuring your content, there is usually a limit to what is useful in terms of readability. Aim to go no more than 3 layers deep in the hierarchy, if possible.

# Description of the final product

You should provide a clear description of what the final product looks like and what it does. You do not have to explore every minute detail of the system, you should attempt to convey the key, major areas of functionality. In some ways, you could consider this section to be a cut-down version of a user manual. Even in systems where there is no user interface, there may still be some general aspects that you can mention. However, if it is the case that this section of the report is just not relevant to your project, please just state that or omit this section.

When you are writing your report, you may find that the content of this section could overlap with earlier content in the report too, such as the implementation section. We want to avoid repetition in the report. At the same time, a degree of overlap is OK, bearing in mind that it is other people who are reading your report and they may benefit from a reminder, and a focused overview of what the final product looks like. As noted earlier, this section provides an overview of your finished product whereas earlier sections such as the implementation focus more on how you got to that point, i.e., the stages you went through, the decisions you made, and the problems you had to solve along the way.

# Appraisal

Provide a critical appraisal of the project. The question that I would pose to you here is as follows: if you were doing the whole project again, what would you do differently, what would you do the same, what advice would you give to others if they were doing the same project? Here you should reflect on the entirety of your project including your choice of technologies, your implementation decisions, and the project plan. With the benefit of hindsight, what are the lessons learned during the project and the evaluation of the final product and the process of its production (including a review of the plan and any deviations from it). Also consider what have been the most useful learning aspects for you.

NOTE: the appraisal section could potentially occur after the Summary and Conclusions below, or even as a sub-section within the Summary and Conclusions. See what works best for you and your advisor.

# Summary and Conclusions

Summarise the main points of what your project was and what the report has provided. Provide a summary. Describe the conclusions and outcomes that you have found.

# Future Work

What recommendations do you have for future work? Are there more features that need to be included? More testing? More evaluations? Are there follow-on projects or ideas that could be explored? Do you plan to do any more with the project yourself? Please discuss this here.

NOTE: this section could possibly appear as a sub-section within the preceding Summary and Conclusions.

## Acknowledgments

You can provide acknowledgements here to anyone who has been helpful in your project, or beyond. In some cases, the licensing of certain software products you have used may require you to acknowledge them here, e.g., in return for free use.

# References

[1] J. Bloggs and A. N. Jones, “Creating the perfect project”, International Conference on How to Get a First- Class Degree, Dundee, UK, 2020, pp. 1-6.

[2] M. Mouse "A comparison of automatic thesis generation algorithms", *IEEE Transactions on Document Generation*, Vol. 1, No. 1., pp. 900-919, 2112.

[3] I. N. Stein, D. Rac and D. Duck, *Transdimensional Monte Carlo Estimation of Honours Project Probability Mass Functions: Theory and Application*, Auchtermuchty University Press, U.K., 2053.

# Appendices

The appendices to your report will not appear here, they are submitted separately. However, you can provide a summary / bulleted list of what the appendices are here if you wish.